A EVALUATE ON ARTIFACTS REMOVAL TECHNIQUES FOR EEG SIGNALS

Padmini Chattu[1] and Dr. C.V.P.R.Prasad[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar,A.P,India
[2]Research Supervisor, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar.

ABSTRACT
Electro-encephalogram (EEG) performs a significant function in differentiating brain action and behavior. Nevertheless, the listed electric action continually be infected using artifacts Then alter the investigation of EEG sign. It is critical to produce approaches to efficiently extract and precisely detect the blank EEG info throughout encephalogram Records. Several approaches are suggested to eliminate artifacts, however the exploration on artifact removing is still an open issue. This article reviews a number of these recent procedures to get artifact elimination in EEG signs.

Keywords – Electrooculogram (EOG), Electromyogram (EMG), electrocardiogram (ECG),artifact removal techniques, artifacts

1.INTRODUCTION

The patient's electro-encephalogram (EEG) is a visual representation of their mental health status. Electroencephalogram (EEG) symptoms are the electrical needs of the brain, which can be affected by many bio-potentials, including electrooculograms, electrocardiograms, and electromyograms. To evaluate EEG signals, it may be necessary to isolate them from other bio-potentials. The primary goal of supply separation systems was to analyze EEG signals; essentially, the methods utilized for foundation separation were based on two types of artifacts: intrinsic and extrinsic. Electrode collapse, venting, lineup noises, earthling problems, high electrode impedance, and interference are all examples of electronic and electric artifacts that have occurred. The patient's attentional movements, eye wracking, and bioelectric potentials in the heart and muscles are all examples of artifacts that could have occurred in their EEG signals. Artifacts are undesired components that arise from several causes; yet, they mimic the actual brain activity in recorded EEG data, leading to far more technical analysis of the EEG data. Artifacts make even the real EEG signal inaccurate. Therefore, in the field of EEG signal processing, the extraction of initial EEG signals from contaminated EEG signals had the highest priority.

Even the Collection of artifacts as well as their stride together with signs of curiosity about the spectral and temporal domain names, even in the domain name, causes it to be almost impossible for uncomplicated signal preprocessing strategy to differentiate them in EEG. Hence, using filtering or amplitude thresh older to clear away artifacts regularly ends in poor functionality both regarding sign distortion and artifact elimination. Up to now, substantial numbers of all methods/algorithms are formulated for artifact removal and detection by EEG signs. There is not any universal whole solution nonetheless readily available for this issue. More importantly, a cautious appraisal of this appropriate artifact detection elimination algorithms/methods shows there is a difference between engineered algorithm along with its own target app. Most of the accessible methods are not application-specific and so unneeded computational weight appears.

In this paper, the ongoing condition of techniques to clear away the inherent artifacts In EEG signs has been assessed. The paper is organized as follows: -section 2 gives literature about several varieties of artifacts, usually contaminate EEG Signs records. Afterward, section 3 discusses the relative investigation of this existing approaches. At length, the findings of this inspection are outlined in section 4 together with conclusion.

2.LITERATURE REVIEW

To remove eye blink artifact from genuine EEG data without altering it, A.K. Maddirala and K.C. Veluvolu [1] created a new framework that merges a single spectrum analysis approach with an unsupervised machine learning method (k-means). The novel aspect of this work is the utilization of the time-domain characteristics of the EEG signal in conjunction with an unsupervised machine learning technique to isolate the eye-blink artifact. Next, the eye-blink artifact is extracted from the polluted single channel EEG signal by applying the SSA technique, which is applied after the artifact recovery process. Afterwards, the EEG data is rectified.

Using full ensemble empirical mode decomposition with adaptive noise, Quanyu Wu et al. [2] investigated the blind deconvolution (BD) model. The original goal of using the CEEMDAN method was to separate the artifact-ridden EEG data into its constituent intrinsic mode functions. After building the BD model with the EEG signal's source signal and EOG artifacts, the observed signal's modal component was feed into it. As a consequence, we were able to effectively separate EEG signal and EOG artifacts by iteratively building cost functions, and our findings showed that our method's separation impact on EOG artifacts is superior than prior research.

Clara Marie For your information, Sudha and Noorbasha, the third method for removing artifacts from single channel EEG involves ICA, Generalized Moreau Envelope Total Variation, and Combining Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA). Here, we use the SSA to break down the polluted single-channel EEG, and we use the ICA to separate the numerous hidden sources into their component parts. The ICA does a good job of separating sources, but it still shows up as artifact in the IC when it comes to some crucial EEG signal data. Therefore, EEG signal information would be lost if it were removed. In this article, we offer the GMETV approach, which estimates the actual artifacts, as a solution to these issues. The required EEG component is preserved after the artifact ICs have had their estimated genuine artifacts eliminated. This byproduct is reintroduced to the remaining ICs in order to obtain the denoised EEG.

A method that allows altering basic parameters to manage the suppression or removal of supposed artifacts is presented by Nikesh Bajaj et al [4] and is based on wavelet packet decomposition. The proposed approach incorporates three modes of operation and two parameters for fine-tuning. By comparing it to ICA-based methods and a comparative wavelet-based strategy, as well as an EEG dataset acquired for an auditory task study, we assess the effectiveness of the proposed method. Compared to the ICA-based technique, the proposed method performs better on prediction tasks including visual inspection, spectrum response, and distribution. By fine-tuning the parameters of each predictive model, performance can be even better. The proposed strategy outperforms a wavelet-based one in terms of preserving neuronal information and eliminating artifactual noise, as measured by the correlation coefficient and mutual information.

EFICA-TQWT is a new hybrid technique developed by AfefAbidi, IbtihelNouira, Ines Assali, Mohamed Ali Saafi, and Mohamed HediBedoui [5] for removing ocular and muscle artifacts from electroencephalography data. It combines the adjustable Q-factor wavelet transform with an efficient rapid independent component analysis technique. The use of the 3D interpolation technique in the filtering system is the paper's major contribution. In this study, two healthy and one epileptic EEG datasets were utilized. Each dataset's participants are chosen with the assistance of a physiology specialist. The existence of muscle and ocular artifacts in the processed recordings was used as a selection criteria.

Zhang et al., produced EEGdenoiseNet, a benchmark EEG dataset [6]. It works well for comparing model performances, training and testing denoising models that rely on deep learning, and so on. Based on the ground-truth clean EEG, users can create noisy EEG segments using EEGdenoiseNet's 4514 clean EEG, 3400 ocular artifact, and 5598 muscle artifact segments. Four classic networks' denoising capabilities were evaluated using EEG denoise Net.

One practical method for independent component analysis is presented by M. Vidal et al., who employ a smoothed principal component expansion's kurtosis operator and its spectral decomposition. For the suggested independent component model to achieve its smoothed basis, the orthonormality condition of the covariance eigenfunctions is updated to include a discrete roughness penalty. Using a cross-validation method that employs shrinkage to pick tuning parameters, performance on functional representations with a high basis dimension can be optimized. Using an estimating strategy, this approach determines the optimal number of components and penalty parameter. We can estimate real brain potentials from a polluted signal by using our independent component method to real EEG data.

The authors S. Phadikar, N. Sinha, and R. Ghosh introduce a new way to eliminate eyeblink artifacts: they use thresholding approximation coefficients in a backward manner instead of detail-coefficients of DWT of EEG [8]. The EEG is quite sensitive, therefore it can be quickly influenced by blink artifacts. Using a classifier called a support vector machine (SVM) is the first step in recognizing eyeblink-corrupted EEG data. This is followed by deconstructing the distorted EEG data up to level six using DWT. Appropriate methods are used to select the mother wavelet and degree of decomposition. The second step is to use the best threshold settings to backward-threshold the ACs, and then to use an inverse DWT approach to reproduce the original EEG signal. In IDWT with DC, the AC at level 5 can be restored by using a thresholder with AC at level 6. In a similar vein, the ACs rebuild the level 1 artifact-free EEG data using an IDWT and a backward thresholder. In order to compare and evaluate the ACs, we optimize their ideal threshold values at different levels using two meta-heuristic methods: particle swarm optimization (PSO) and grey wolf optimization.

The authors Lichen Feng, Zun-Chao Li, and Jian Zhang[9] propose a VLSI system design that uses the FICA-R algorithm and the wavelet denoising technique to quickly remove artefacts from EEG data on the chip. To shorten the time it takes to detect seizures, the system's two modules—an extraction module and a removal module—are constructed in a highly parallel form. This allows for faster calculation. On the Kintex-7 FPGA, the proposed system is validated using both generated and actual EEG data.

V. Roy and S. Shukla[10] develop a BSS-based technique for successfully eliminating EEG motion artifacts. The six distinct methods, which include a mix of ICA and CC, discrete and stationary wavelet transforms, etc., are compared to achieve this goal. Ensemble empirical mode decomposition, Intrinsic Mode Functions, and the following combination approaches are used to reduce EEG motion artifacts.

III COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

It is possible to deduce from the literature review that denoising EEG signals can be improved upon by employing wavelets in elastic filtering. In order to discover new EEG signals, the author of [11] suggested using a frame that was in line with ICA and wavelet denoising. For the purpose of removing artifacts from EEG signals, he had a concept for spatially limited ICA. Provided a comparative analysis of various approaches to de-noise the EEG signals in [12].

For both stationary and non-stationary signals, the various wavelet transformations may provide a coordinated time-frequency representation. A better method [13] proposed a novel filter based on thresholding to get denoising EEG signals with wavelet packets, and discrete wavelet transformation offers multipurpose resolutional properties. Wavelet packs are demonstrated to be effective in removing audible indications. Denoising methods based on wavelets use thresholding filters, both soft and harsh. According to [14], one of the most common applications of wavelets is to eliminate background noise from gastrointestinal signals. This is achieved by thresholding wavelet coefficients, which separate the signal from background noise. After looking at a number of different approaches, the author of [15] concluded that the wavelet algorithm for denoising would greatly improve the code's quality and effectively de-noise the EEG signals. In [16], the author provides an ideal decision tree classification using the input given, achieving a reasonable level of classification precision for practical applications. On top of that, it reveals how many detectors are required to effectively understand their attention state using EEG.

Objective of the proposed research:

- Pre-processing new wavelets will be introduced with orthogonal bases and numerical stability.
- Noises in the EEG signal must be suppressed.
- A novel optimization will be presented for adaptive filters.
- A convolutional neural network with down-sampling in time series and progressively increasing feature size can be used to eliminate artifacts in EEG data.
- This model substantially avoids over-fitting and surpasses the competition.
- According to our findings, deep network design may aid in avoiding overfitting and better removing EMG artifacts in EEG.

4.CONCLUSION

As artifacts tainted the EEG signs, there is a requirement to enhance the SNR. This aids in strengthening the precision in function extraction and information classification. So latest processes are assessed by using their rewards and limits. It is discovered that methods mentioned from the review will be providing greater outcomes compared to traditional Procedures.

REFERENCES

1. Maddirala, A.K., Veluvolu, K.C. Eye-blink artifact removal from single channel EEG with k-means and SSA. Sci Rep **11,** 11043 (2021.
2. Quanyu Wu, WenQiang Zhang, Ye Wang, Wenxi Zhang &Xiaojie Liu (2021): Research on removal algorithm of EOG artifacts in single-channel EEG signals based on CEEMDAN-BD, Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical Engineering.
3. Noorbasha SK, Florence Sudha G. Hybrid algorithm for multi artifact removal from single channel EEG. Biomed Phys Eng Express. 2021 May 11;7(4).
4. Nikesh Bajaj, Jesús RequenaCarrión, Francesco Bellotti, Riccardo Berta, Alesandro De Gloria,Automatic and tunable algorithm for EEG artifact removal using wavelet decomposition with applications in predictive modeling during auditory tasks,Biomedical Signal Processing and Control,Volume 55,2020,101624,ISSN 1746-8094.
5. AfefAbidi, IbtihelNouira, Ines Assali, Mohamed Ali Saafi, Mohamed HediBedoui, Hybrid Multi-Channel EEG Filtering Method for Ocular and

Muscular Artifact Removal Based on the 3D Spline Interpolation Technique, The Computer Journal, 2021;, bxaa175.

6. Zhang, Haoming& Zhao, Mingqi& Wei, Chen &Mantini, Dante & Li, Zherui& Liu, Quanying. (2020). EEGdenoiseNet: A benchmark dataset for deep learning solutions of EEG denoising.
7. Vidal, M.; Rosso, M.; Aguilera, A.M. Bi-Smoothed Functional Independent Component Analysis for EEG Artifact Removal. Mathematics 2021, 9, 1243.
8. S. Phadikar, N. Sinha and R. Ghosh, "Automatic Eyeblink Artifact Removal From EEG Signal Using Wavelet Transform With Heuristically Optimized Threshold," in IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 475-484, Feb. 2021.
9. Feng, Lichen & Li, Zun-Chao & Zhang, Jian. (2020). Fast Automated On-chip Artefact Removal of EEG for Seizure Detection Based on ICA-R Algorithm and Wavelet Denoising. IET Circuits, Devices & Systems. 14. 10.1049/iet-cds.2019.0491.
10. Roy, V., Shukla, S. Designing Efficient Blind Source Separation Methods for EEG Motion Artifact Removal Based on Statistical Evaluation. Wireless Pers Commun**108,** 1311–1327 (2019).
11. Liu, Yuxi, et al. "Ballistocardiogram Artifact Removal for Concurrent EEG-fMRI Recordings Using Blind Source Separation Based on Dictionary Learning." *International Conference on Intelligent Information Processing*. Springer, Cham, 2020.
12. Gandhi, Sunil, et al. "Denoising time series data using asymmetric generative adversarial networks." *Pacific-Asia Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*. Springer, Cham, 2018.
13. Sahu, Mridu, et al. "EEG Artifact Removal Techniques: A Comparative Study." *International Conference on Innovative Computing and Communications*. Springer, Singapore, 2020.
14. Roy, Vandana, and Shailja Shukla. "A methodical healthcare model to eliminate motion artifacts from big EEG data." *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing (JOEUC)* 29.4 (2017): 84-102.
15. Placidi, Giuseppe, Luigi Cinque, and Matteo Polsinelli. "A Fast and Scalable Framework for Automated Artifact Recognition from EEG Signals represented in Scalp Topographies of Independent Components." *Computers in Biology and Medicine* (2021): 104347.
16. Vachiravel, Sabarinathan. "Eye state prediction using EEG signal and C4. 5 decision tree algorithm." *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research* 10.68 (2015).
17. Mannan, Malik Muhammad Naeem, Muhammad Ahmad Kamran, and Myung Yung Jeong. "Identification and removal of physiological artifacts from electroencephalogram signals: A review." *Ieee Access* 6 (2018): 30630-30652.
18. Avanzo, Costanza D., et al. "A wavelet methodology for EEG time-frequency analysis in a time discrimination task." *International Journal of Bioelectromagnetism* 11.4 (2009): 185-188.
19. Jiang, Xiao, Gui-Bin Bian, and Zean Tian. "Removal of artifacts from EEG signals: a review." *Sensors* 19.5 (2019): 987.
20. Craik, Alexander, Yongtian He, and Jose L. Contreras-Vidal. "Deep learning

for electroencephalogram (EEG) classification tasks: a review." *Journal of neural engineering* 16.3 (2019): 031001.

21. Yang, Banghua, et al. "Automatic ocular artifacts removal in EEG using deep learning." *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control* 43 (2018): 148-158.
22. Roy, Yannick, et al. "Deep learning-based electroencephalography analysis: a systematic review." *Journal of neural engineering* 16.5 (2019): 051001.
23. Islam, Md Kafiul, Amir Rastegarnia, and Zhi Yang. "Methods for artifact detection and removal from scalp EEG: A review." *Neurophysiologie Clinique/Clinical Neurophysiology* 46.4-5 (2016): 287-305.